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## Cerebras CS-1

Largest chip ever built

- $46,225 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ silicon
- 1.2 trillion transistors
- 400,000 AI optimized cores
- 18 Gigabytes of On-chip Memory
- 9 PByte/s memory bandwidth
- 100 Pbit/s fabric bandwidth
- TSMC 16nm process



## Interesting Features

- Dataflow scheduling in hardware
- Triggered by data
- Filters out sparse zero data
- Skips unnecessary processing


## Sparse Tensor Communication

 Tensor| 0 | 42 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 57 | 0 | 13 |
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Tensor
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Dense Communication


Sparse Communication

- break up tensor into chunks (e.g., rows)
- only send
- non-zero entry + position in chunk
- end-of-chunk
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## Interesting Features

- Dataflow scheduling in hardware
- Triggered by data
- Filters out sparse zero data
- Skips unnecessary processing
- Powerful SIMD Engine
- Performs some number of operations per cycle
- Mimics normalized loop nest of depth at most four $\Rightarrow$ removes overhead of software managed loops


## SIMD Instructions

## Loop code:

handle(uint16_t index, half data) \{
for (int c3 = 0 ; c3 <= 4; c3 += 1)
for (int c4 = 0; c4 <= 4; c4 += 1)
dx_local[2 * dy_index_0 + c3][2 * index + c4] += (data) * (W_local[0][c3][c4]);
\}

## SIMD Instructions

## Loop code:

```
handle(uint16_t index, half data) {
    for (int c3 = 0; c3 <= 4; c3 += 1)
        for (int c4 = 0; c4 <= 4; c4 += 1)
            dx_local[2 * dy_index_0 + c3][2 * index + c4] +=
            (data) * (W_local[0][c3][c4]);
}
```

SIMD instruction:

```
handle(uint16_t index, half data) {
    set_base_address(dx, &dx_local[2 * dy_index_0][2 * index]);
    invoke_simd(fmach, dx, W, data, index);
}
void main() {
    configure(/* 5,5; W_local: i,j -> 0,i,j; dx_local: i,j -> i,j */);
    set_base_address(W, &W_local [0][0][0]);
```

\}
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## LAIR

$\Rightarrow$ DSL written by hand or extracted from TensorFlow (Abadi et al. 2016)

## LAIR Example

```
lair matvec<T=float16>(M, N): T W[M][N], T x[N] -> T y[M] {
    all (i, j) in (M, N)
        y[i] += W[i][j] * x[j]
}
lair node
```

- defines one or more output tensors in terms of input tensors
- each statement has zero-based rectangular set of instances
- LAIR is single assignment (at tensor level)
- all accesses are affine (not piecewise, not quasi-affine)
- each tensor in a statement is accessed through single index expression

Other nodes combine and/or specialize lair nodes
$\Rightarrow$ e.g., $M=32$ and $N=16$
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## LAIR

$\Rightarrow$ DSL written by hand or extracted from TensorFlow (Abadi et al. 2016)
LAIR map contains information in isl (V. 2010) notation about

- the size of the target rectangle of PEs
- how input and output tensors are communicated
- where computations are performed


## LAIR Map Example

```
lair matvec<T=float16>(M, N): T W[M][N], T x[N] -> T y[M] {
```

    all (i, j) in (M, N)
        \(y[i] \quad+=W[i][j]\) * \(x[j]\)
    \}

Mapping of $32 \times 16$ matrix vector multiplication to $4 \times 4 \mathrm{PEs}$.


```
size: { PE[4, 4] }
compute_map: { ff[i, j] -> PE[j//4, i//8] }
iport_map: { x[i=0:15] -> [PE[i//4, -1] -> index[i%4]] }
oport_map: { y[i=0:31] -> [PE[4, i//8] -> index[i%8]] }
```


## Task Graph Construction

Code generation consists of

- Parse LAIR and LAIR map
- Construct task graph
- Detect SIMD opportunities
- Write out code


## Task Graph Construction

Code generation consists of

- Parse LAIR and LAIR map
- Construct task graph
- Detect SIMD opportunities
- Write out code

Task graph construction: split LAIR specification into

- communication tasks
- computation tasks

Two types:

- react to incoming tensor element
- read in entire tensor or operate on local memory
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## SIMD Code Generation

$\Rightarrow$ detect sets of computation instances that can be performed by SIMD instructions
$\Rightarrow$ determine

- supported instruction
- "fixed" instance set sizes
- accesses of the form
offset + linear in iterators
"fixed" sizes: may depend on PE, but not on tensor element Otherwise, configuration needs to be performed before each invocation
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## SIMD Instructions

## Loop code:

```
handle(uint16_t index, half data) {
    for (int c3 = 0; c3 <= 4; c3 += 1)
        for (int c4 = 0; c4 <= 4; c4 += 1)
            dx_local[2 * dy_index_0 + c3][2 * index + c4] +=
            (data) * (W_local[0][c3][c4]);
}
```

SIMD instruction:

```
handle(uint16_t index, half data) {
    set_base_address(dx, &dx_local[2 * dy_index_0][2 * index]);
    invoke_simd(fmach, dx, W, data, index);
}
void main() {
    configure(/* 5,5; W_local: i,j -> 0,i,j; dx_local: i,j -> i,j */);
    set_base_address(W, &W_local [0] [0] [0]);
```

\}

## Challenge

Recall:
lair node guarantees:

- each statement has zero-based rectangular set of instances
- all accesses are affine (not piecewise, not quasi-affine)

SIMD detection requirements:

- "fixed" instance set sizes
- accesses of the form
offset + linear in iterators

Trivial?

```
Trivial Example
lair matvec<T=float16>(M, N): T W[M][N], T x[N] -> T y[M] {
    all (i, j) in (M, N)
        y[i] += W[i][j] * x[j]
}
compute_map: { ff[i, j] -> PE[j//4, i//8] }
```
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```
Trivial Example
lair matvec<T=float16>(M, N): T W[M][N], T x[N] -> T y[M] {
        all (i, j) in (M, N)
        y[i] += W[i][j] * x[j]
}
compute_map: { ff[i, j] -> PE[j//4, i//8] }
```

Computation instances: Computation instances on PE:


- Mapping to PEs
- Arrival of $x$-value
$\Rightarrow$ Size: $[8,1]$
$\Rightarrow$ Access to $\mathrm{y}: \mathrm{y}\left[8 \mathrm{PE}_{y}+i^{\prime}\right]$ (local coordinates: $i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}$ )
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## Size Computation

Input: S: set of instances executed on a PE on arrival of a tensor element

- Compute element-wise minimum and maximum of $S$
- Construct $\{\mathbf{x}: \min \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \max \}$
- Check equal to $S$
$\Rightarrow S$ is a dense box
- Size: $\max -\min +1$
- Check size does not depend on "index"

```
Convolution
lair C() : float16 x[8], float16 W[3] -> float16 y[6] {
    all (w, rw) in (8 - 3 + 1, 3)
        y[w] += x[w + rw] * W[rw]
}
compute_map: { C[w, rw] -> PE[0, 0] }
```
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## Convolution

```
lair C() : float16 x[8], float16 W[3] -> float16 y[6] {
    all (w, rw) in (8 - 3 + 1, 3)
        y[w] += x[w + rw] * W[rw]
}
compute_map: { C[w, rw] -> PE[0, 0] }
```

Computation instances: Compressed instances:


- Arrival of $x$-value
- Compress
- Compute minimum and maximum
- Construct $\{\mathbf{x}: \min \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \max \}$
$\Rightarrow$ a dense box
- Size: $\max -\min +1$
$\Rightarrow$ [1], [2] or [3] depending on "index"


## Fixed Size Box Hull Approximation

Fixed size box hull approximation:

- Result: box containing the input set with
- variable offset (in particular, may involve "index")
- fixed size (in particular, does not involve "index")
- Approach: look for suitable constraints in representation of input set
- May fail to produce a result
(also used by PPCG (V. et al. 2013) to obtain mapping to shared memory)
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## Size Computation

Input: S: set of instances executed on a PE on arrival of a tensor element

- Apply variable compression to $S$ to obtain $S^{\prime}$
- Try and compute fixed size box hull of $S^{\prime}$

If successful and extra instances write to disjoint locations, then use box size. Stop.

- Compute element-wise minimum and maximum of $S^{\prime}$
- Construct $\{\mathbf{x}: \min \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \max \}$
- Check equal to $S^{\prime}$
$\Rightarrow S^{\prime}$ is a dense box
- Size: $\max -\min +1$
- Check size does not depend on "index"
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    all (w, rw) in (8-3 + 1, 3)
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```
lair C() : float16 x[8], float16 W[3] -> float16 y[6] {
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## Conclusion

- achieving good performance on Cerebras CS-1 requires generation of SIMD instructions
- heuristics based approach can detect opportunities in many cases, using
- variable compression
- fixed size box hull approximation
- effective use of polyhedral compilation techniques (other than affine scheduling)
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